

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Robinson
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286418
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Robinson
<b>Given Name</b>	Ian
<b>Person ID</b>	1286418
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The site is not justified, not positively prepared and not consistent with national policy because,</p> <p>There is no need in Rochdale to build on green belt land.</p> <p>There are many brown field sites around Rochdale which could be developed.</p> <p>The existing roads and infrastructure cannot be developed to accommodate an increase of a possible 900 additional cars.</p> <p>The area is of significant historical importance to warrant conservation...old footpaths and lanes leading to historical sites from the Industrial Revolution.</p> <p>The area is used by walkers and is of significant importance to wild life.</p> <p>There is no provision for schools, doctors etc and existing facilities are already stretched.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	JPA 19 Bamford/Norden should be removed from PfE